

II. Remarks

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-5, 11, and 13-29 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 19, and 29 are independent.

Claims 1-5, 11, and 13-29 were rejected as being unpatentable over Wistendahl '136, Sabat, and Toklu, for the reasons noted at pages 2-11 of the Office Action. Applicants respectfully traverse all art rejections on the ground that Wistendahl fails to disclose the separately-stored and separate files: pixel object file; and data object file. Nevertheless, Applicants have amended the independent claims to recite that the video capture system determines a range of color values corresponding to the selected pixel object, and a pixel object tracking system tracks the selected pixel object through a plurality of the sequence of video frames based on the determined range of color values. Such features are nowhere disclosed or suggested by Wistendahl '136, Sabat, and Toklu.

In view of the above, it is believed that this application is now in condition for allowance, and a Notice thereof is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 625-3507. All

correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Richard P. Bauer/
Attorney for Applicants
Richard P. Bauer
Registration No. 31,588

PATENT ADMINISTRATOR
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
2900 K Street, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20007-5118